



## San Bernardino Associated Governments

1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92410

Phone: (909) 884-8276 Fax: (909) 885-4407

Web: [www.sanbag.ca.gov](http://www.sanbag.ca.gov)



- 
- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission •San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
  - San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency •Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
- 

## AGENDA

### Administrative Committee Meeting

**March 14, 2007**

**9:00 a.m.**

#### Location

SANBAG

*Super Chief Conference Room*

1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

San Bernardino, CA

### *Administrative Committee Membership*

#### Chair – SANBAG Vice President

Mayor Lawrence Dale

City of Barstow

#### SANBAG President

Supervisor Dennis Hansberger

County of San Bernardino

#### SANBAG Past President

Supervisor Paul Biane

County of San Bernardino

#### Mt./Desert Representatives

Mayor Rick Roelle

Town of Apple Valley

Council Member Kevin Cole

City of Twentynine Palms

Supervisor Brad Mitselfelt

County of San Bernardino

#### East Valley Representatives

Council Member Bea Cortes

City of Grand Terrace

Mayor Bob Christman

City of Loma Linda

Supervisor Josie Gonzales

County of San Bernardino

#### West Valley Representatives

Mayor Gwenn Norton-Perry

City of Chino Hills

Mayor Paul Eaton

City of Montclair

Supervisor Gary Ovitt

County of San Bernardino

*San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is a council of governments formed in 1973 by joint powers agreement of the cities and the County of San Bernardino. SANBAG is governed by a Board of Directors consisting of a mayor or designated council member from each of the twenty-four cities in San Bernardino County and the five members of the San Bernardino County Board of Supervisors.*

*In addition to SANBAG, the composition of the SANBAG Board of Directors also serves as the governing board for several separate legal entities listed below:*

***The San Bernardino County Transportation Commission,** which is responsible for short and long range transportation planning within San Bernardino County, including coordination and approval of all public mass transit service, approval of all capital development projects for public transit and highway projects, and determination of staging and scheduling of construction relative to all transportation improvement projects in the Transportation Improvement Program.*

***The San Bernardino County Transportation Authority,** which is responsible for administration of the voter-approved half-cent transportation transactions and use tax levied in the County of San Bernardino.*

***The Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies,** which is responsible for the administration and operation of a motorist aid system of call boxes on State freeways and highways within San Bernardino County.*

***The Congestion Management Agency,** which analyzes the performance level of the regional transportation system in a manner which ensures consideration of the impacts from new development and promotes air quality through implementation of strategies in the adopted air quality plans.*

*As a **Subregional Planning Agency**, SANBAG represents the San Bernardino County subregion and assists the Southern California Association of Governments in carrying out its functions as the metropolitan planning organization. SANBAG performs studies and develops consensus relative to regional growth forecasts, regional transportation plans, and mobile source components of the air quality plans.*

*Items which appear on the monthly Board of Directors agenda are subjects of one or more of the listed legal authorities. For ease of understanding and timeliness, the agenda items for all of these entities are consolidated on one agenda. Documents contained in the agenda package are clearly marked with the appropriate legal entity.*

**San Bernardino Associated Governments  
County Transportation Commission  
County Transportation Authority  
Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies  
County Congestion Management Agency**

**AGENDA**

**Administrative Committee Meeting**

**March 14, 2007**

**9:00 a.m.**

**Location:** SANBAG, Super Chief Conference Room, 1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,  
San Bernardino

CALL TO ORDER 9:00 a.m.  
(Meeting Chaired by Lawrence Dale)

- I. Attendance
- II. Announcements
- III. Agenda Notices/Modifications – Anna Aldana

- 1. **Possible Conflict of Interest Issues for the Administrative Committee Meeting March 14, 2007.** Pg. 5

Note agenda item contractors, subcontractors and agents which may require member abstentions due to conflict of interest and financial interests. Board Member abstentions shall be stated under this item for recordation on the appropriate item.

**Consent Calendar**

Consent Calendar items shall be adopted by a single vote unless removed by member request.

**Administrative Matters**

- 2. **Attendance Register** Pg. 6

A quorum shall consist of a majority of the membership of each SANBAG Policy Committee, except that all County Representatives shall be counted as one for the purpose of establishing a quorum.

- 3. **Procurement Report for February 2007** Pg. 8

Receive Monthly Procurement Report. **Terrence J. McGuire**

**Notes/Actions**

## Discussion Items

### Administrative Matters

4. **2007/2008 Budget - Administrative Committee Task Review, Measure I Revenue Budget Estimate and Administrative Budget Amendments** Pg. 9  
Review and provide direction relative to tasks proposed for the inclusion in the 2007/2008 Budget, the Measure I revenue estimate for the 2007/2008 Budget and the addition of one type of Administrative Budget Amendment. **Terrence J. McGuire**
  
5. **Budget Amendment to Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Pass-Through Budget, Task Number 50607000** Pg. 67  
Approve an amendment to the LTF Pass-Through Budget, Task Number 50607000, increasing the budget authority by \$10,419,376 for a new total of \$86,057,525. **Victoria Baker**

### Program Support/Council of Govts.

6. **State and Federal Legislative Briefing** Pg. 69  
Receive Report on State and Federal Legislative Issues. **Michelle Kirkhoff**
  
7. **SANBAG Advocacy Trip to Washington, D.C. – 2007** Pg. 74  
Discuss talking points and tentative plans for the SANBAG Advocacy Trip to Washington, D.C. **Michelle Kirkhoff**
  
8. **Environmental Streamlining – Potential Legislative Matters Concerning the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA)** Pg. 82  
Review report and support legislative efforts to provide for environmental streamlining for NEPA. **Michelle Kirkhoff**

### Comments from Committee Members

#### Public Comment

## ADJOURNMENT

### CLOSED SESSION

CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATOR  
(GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957.6)  
Conference with labor negotiator Tony Grasso  
regarding unrepresented employees.

### Additional Information

#### Acronym List

Pg. 83

Complete packages of the SANBAG agenda are available for public review at the SANBAG offices. Staff reports for items may be made available upon request. For additional information call (909) 884-8276.

## Meeting Procedures and Rules of Conduct

### **Meeting Procedures**

The Ralph M. Brown Act is the state law which guarantees the public's right to attend and participate in meetings of local legislative bodies. These rules have been adopted by the Board of Directors in accordance with the Brown Act, Government Code 54950 et seq., and shall apply at all meetings of the Board of Directors and Policy Committees.

### **Accessibility**

The SANBAG meeting facility is accessible to persons with disabilities. If assistive listening devices or other auxiliary aids or services are needed in order to participate in the public meeting, requests should be made through the Clerk of the Board at least three (3) business days prior to the Board meeting. The Clerk's telephone number is (909) 884-8276 and office is located at 1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, San Bernardino, CA.

**Agendas** – All agendas are posted at 1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, San Bernardino at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting. Staff reports related to agenda items may be reviewed at the SANBAG offices located at 1170 W. 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, San Bernardino and our website: [www.sanbag.ca.gov](http://www.sanbag.ca.gov).

**Agenda Actions** – Items listed on both the "Consent Calendar" and "Items for Discussion" contain suggested actions. The Board of Directors will generally consider items in the order listed on the agenda. However, items may be considered in any order. New agenda items can be added and action taken by two-thirds vote of the Board of Directors.

**Closed Session Agenda Items** – Consideration of closed session items *excludes* members of the public. These items include issues related to personnel, pending litigation, labor negotiations and real estate negotiations. Prior to each closed session, the Chair will announce the subject matter of the closed session. If action is taken in closed session, the Chair may report the action to the public at the conclusion of the closed session.

**Public Testimony on an Item** – Members of the public are afforded an opportunity to speak on any listed item. Individuals wishing to address the Board of Directors or Policy Committee Members should complete a "Request to Speak" form, provided at the rear of the meeting room, and present it to the Clerk prior to the Board's consideration of the item. A "Request to Speak" form must be completed for *each* item an individual wishes to speak on. When recognized by the Chair, speakers should be prepared to step forward and announce their name and address for the record. In the interest of facilitating the business of the Board, speakers are limited to three (3) minutes on each item. Additionally, a twelve (12) minute limitation is established for the total amount of time any one individual may address the Board at any one meeting. The Chair or a majority of the Board may establish a different time limit as appropriate, and parties to agenda items shall not be subject to the time limitations.

The Consent Calendar is considered a single item, thus the three (3) minute rule applies. Consent Calendar items can be pulled at Board member request and will be brought up individually at the specified time in the agenda allowing further public comment on those items.

**Agenda Times** – The Board is concerned that discussion take place in a timely and efficient manner. Agendas may be prepared with estimated times for categorical areas and certain topics to be discussed. These times may vary according to the length of presentation and amount of resulting discussion on agenda items.

**Public Comment** – At the end of the agenda, an opportunity is also provided for members of the public to speak on any subject within the Board's authority. *Matters raised under "Public Comment" may not be acted upon at that meeting. "Public Testimony on any Item" still apply.*

**Disruptive Conduct** – If any meeting of the Board is willfully disrupted by a person or by a group of persons so as to render the orderly conduct of the meeting impossible, the Chair may recess the meeting or order the person, group or groups of person willfully disrupting the meeting to leave the meeting or to be removed from the meeting. Disruptive conduct includes addressing the Board without first being recognized, not addressing the subject before the Board, repetitiously addressing the same subject, failing to relinquish the podium when requested to do so, or otherwise preventing the Board from conducting its meeting in an orderly manner. *Please be aware that a NO SMOKING policy has been established for meetings. Your cooperation is appreciated!*

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

### AGENDA ITEM: 1

**Date:** March 14, 2007

**Subject:** Information Relative to Possible Conflict of Interest

**Recommendation\*:** Note agenda items and contractors/subcontractors which may require member abstentions due to possible conflicts of interest.

**Background:** In accordance with California Government Code 84308, members of the Board of Directors may not participate in any action concerning a contract where they have received a campaign contribution of more than \$250 in the prior twelve months from an entity or individual. This agenda contains recommendations for action relative to the following contractors:

Item No.	Contract No.	Contractor/Agents	Subcontractors
		None	None

**Financial Impact:** This item has no direct impact on the 2006/2007 Budget.

**Reviewed By:** This item is prepared monthly for review by the Board of Directors and policy committee members.

*Approved*  
*Administrative Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Second:* \_\_\_\_\_

*In Favor:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Opposed:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Abstained:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

# ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE ROSTER - 2007

Name	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
<b>Paul Biane</b> Board of Supervisors	X	X										
<b>Robert Christman</b> City of Loma Linda	X	X										
<b>Kevin Cole</b> City of Twentynine Palms	X	X										
<b>Bea Cortes</b> City of Grand Terrace	X	X										
<b>Lawrence Dale</b> City of Barstow	X	X										
<b>Paul Eaton</b> City of Montclair		X										
<b>Josie Gonzales</b> Board of Supervisors	X											
<b>Dennis Hansberger</b> Board of Supervisors	X	X										
<b>Brad Mitselfelt</b> Board of Supervisors	X	X										
<b>Gary Ovitt</b> Board of Supervisors	X	X										
<b>Gwenn Norton-Perry</b> City of Chino Hills		X										
<b>Rick Roelle</b> Town of Apple Valley	X	X										

X - indicates member attended meeting.      Crossed out box indicates was not a member at that time.      The empty box indicates member who did not attend the meeting that month.



# ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE ATTENDANCE ROSTER - 2006

Name	Jan	Feb	March	April	May	June	July*	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec
Paul Biane		X		X	X			X		X		
Kelly Chastain	X	X	X	X	X							
Robert Christman	X	X	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X
Bea Cortes	X	X	X	X		X		X	X	X	X	X
Paul Eaton	X	X			X			X	X	X	X	X
Josie Gonzales	X					X		X	X			
James Lindley	X			X	X	X		X	X	X		
Dennis Hansberger	X	X	X	X		X			X	X	X	X
Darrell Mulvihill								X				
Gary Ovitt	X	X							X	X	X	X
Gwenn Norton-Perry		X		X		X		X		X	X	X
Bill Postmus								X	X			
Rick Roelle	X	X	X	X	X			X			X	

The crossed-out boxes indicate members who were not on the committee as of that month.

The empty boxes indicate member who did not attend the meeting that month.

\*The Administrative Committee did not meet in July.

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 3

**Date:** March 14, 2007

**Subject:** Procurement Report for February 2007

**Recommendation:**\* Receive Monthly Procurement Report.

**Background:** The Board of Directors approved the Contracting and Procurement Policy (Policy No. 11000) on January 3, 1997. The Executive Director, or his designee, is authorized to approve Purchase Orders up to an amount of \$25,000. All procurements for supplies and services approved by the Executive Director, or his designee, in excess of \$5,000 shall be routinely reported to the Administrative Committee and to the Board of Directors.

There were no purchase orders in excess of \$5,000 to be reported to the Administrative Committee for the month of February 2007.

**Financial Impact:** This item imposes no impact on the FY 2006/2007 Budget. Presentation of the monthly procurement report will demonstrate compliance with the Contracting and Procurement Policy (Policy No. 11000).

**Reviewed By:** This item is scheduled for review by the Administrative Committee on March 14, 2007.

**Responsible Staff:** Terrence J. McGuire, Chief Financial Officer

ADM0703a-tjm.doc  
ISF07

*Approved*  
*Administrative Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Second:* \_\_\_\_\_

*In Favor:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Opposed:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Abstained:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 4

**Date:** March 14, 2007

**Subject:** 2007/2008 Budget - Administrative Committee Task Review, Measure I Revenue Budget Estimate and Administrative Budget Amendments

**Recommendation:** Review and provide direction relative to tasks proposed for the inclusion in the 2007/2008 Budget, the Measure I revenue estimate for the 2007/2008 Budget and the addition of one type of Administrative Budget Amendment.

**Background:** 1. SANBAG staff has been developing tasks relative to the 2007/2008 Budget. Details included with this item are narrative descriptions and draft total budget information for the tasks which come under the purview of the Administrative Committee. This review of tasks is intended to gain input on the appropriateness of the type and scope of the work effort. Budget amounts and fund types are preliminary, at this point, pending agency-wide revenue and expenditure compilation.

The following tasks are presented for Committee review:

<u>Task Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Manager</u>
10408000	Inter-Gov. Relations	Franco
49008000	Council of Govts. New Initiatives	Barmack
50308000	Legislation	Franco
60508000	Publications & Public Outreach	Barmack
50108000	Federal/Transit Act Programming	Bair
50208000	TDA Administration	Bair

*Approved*  
*Administrative Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Second:* \_\_\_\_\_

*In Favor:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Opposed:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Abstained:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

<u>Task Number</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Manager</u>
50608000	Local Transportation Fund	Bair
50708000	State Transit Assistance Fund	Bair
51308000	Measure I Valley E & D	Bair
50408000	Measure I Admin – Valley	McGuire
91800000	Valley Measure I Local	McGuire
80508000	Building Operations	McGuire
80608000	Building Improvements	McGuire
94208000	Financial Management	McGuire
93108000	Debt Service – Major/97 Issue	McGuire
94408000	Debt Service – Major/96 Issue	McGuire
94808000	Debt Service – Major/01 Issue A	McGuire
94908000	Debt Service – Major/01 Issue B	McGuire
94608000	Debt Service – Barstow/96	McGuire
95008000	Debt Service.–Yucca Valley/01 Issue B	McGuire
90708000	Debt Service – Big Bear/92 Issue	McGuire
90808000	Debt Service–Mt./Unincorp./92Issue	McGuire

In addition, the overall Task List for the Agency budget has one deletion, three additions and one movement between programs. These changes are:

- a. Deletion of Task 844 SR30/210 Victoria Avenue from the Project Development Program
- b. Addition of Task 610 Measure I 2010-2040 Project Advancement Program to the Subregional Transportation Planning & Programming Program
- c. Addition of Task 707 Freeway Service Patrol SR60/I-215 to the Regional & Quality of Life Program
- d. Addition of Task 806 Building Improvements to the Program Support/Council of Governments Program
- e. Transfer of Task 373 Federal/State Fund Administration from Transportation Programs & Fund Administration Program to Subregional Transportation Planning & Programming Program

2. The proposed Measure I revenue estimate recommended by staff for the FY 2007/2008 budget is based upon a 5% increase over the FY 2005/2006 actual revenue. Actual FY 2005/2006 Measure I revenue increased approximately 13% over FY 2004/2005 revenue. Actual FY 2006/2007 Measure I revenue for the first 8 months is approximately 4% above actual FY 2005/2006 revenue. The staff recommendation represents a conservative Measure I revenue estimate for budget purposes to reflect what may be a slowdown in taxable sales.

3. Staff recommends a third type of Administrative Budget Amendment. The third type of administrative change would be: Substitution of one approved funding source/grant for another approved funding source grant within a program.

*Financial Impact:* The tasks under the purview of the Administrative Committee are those which deal with overall agency administration and support. These tasks will be part of the overall budget adoption which establishes the financial and policy direction for the next fiscal year. The Measure I revenue estimate for the 2007/2008 budget and the proposed addition to Administrative Budget Amendments also establish financial and policy direction for the next fiscal year.

*Reviewed By:* This item is scheduled for review by the Administrative Committee on March 14, 2007.

*Responsible Staff:* Terrence J. McGuire, Chief Financial Officer

## TASK: 10408000 INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

**OBJECTIVE:** To foster SANBAG's involvement in a broad range of local, regional state and federal governmental settings.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) and the Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) have continued to foster an environment of cooperation between the two counties and their related governmental agencies. The Director participated in the activities of the city associations including League of Cities division meetings, Inland Empire Economic Partnership meetings, and with area transit agencies in the greater SCAG region.

Additionally, the Director co-chaired the Transportation Committee for Inland Action and took a leading role establishing the agenda for the Inland Action Washington, D.C. advocacy trip. Inland Action has been instrumental in advocating for various projects and transportation-related legislation.

This past year, focus was given to expand SANBAG's role as a Council of Governments. No definitive direction resulted from meetings held by SANBAG's executive director.

In the coming year, an additional staff person, will increase SANBAG's ability to reach out to more business and legislative affairs groups for the purpose of promoting regional projects of importance.

**DESCRIPTION:** This work element groups all policy development, interagency activities and regional and statewide committee participation into one work element. Intergovernmental activity including Mountain/Desert, Inland, and city manager divisions of the League of Cities as well as the California State Association of Counties is included in this task. Staff also participates in statewide advocacy groups: e.g., the Self-Help County Coalition, Southern California Legislative Roundtable, Southern California Associated Governments, California Association of Councils of Governments, California Transit Association and the International City/County Management Association.

This task also provides for collaboration with local, State, and Federal agencies relative to SANBAG's Measure I Program.

SANBAG support for the monthly San Bernardino City/County Managers Technical Advisory Committee is budgeted in this task. The work element supports the participation and dues for SANBAG Board Members in California Association of Council of Governments and Western Council of Governments' meetings.

## WORK ELEMENTS:

1. Participation in the Self-Help Counties Coalition, and the League of Cities Transportation and City Managers Department meetings (bi-monthly), the International City/County Management Association, and the California Transit Association.
2. Participation in League of California Cities, Inland & Desert/Mountain Division, as well as each League Division's new Legislative Task Force.
3. Support of SANBAG's City/County Managers Technical Advisory Committee meetings.
4. Augmenting the bi-monthly CALCOG Directors meeting, CALCOG conducts an annual two-day policy conference in April, and a Board meeting in conjunction with either the League of Cities or the California State Association of Counties (CSAC) annual meeting in the October-November time frame.

PRODUCTS: SANBAG involvement and leadership in regional and statewide government associations. Fuller understanding and support for SANBAG policies and program by member jurisdictions, regional and state organizations.

Through enhanced outreach, engender a broader understanding of discretionary transportation projects and program issues. Periodic memoranda and board action items as well as text of speeches and presentations, will document these efforts.

## FUNDING

SOURCES:	\$ 29,911	General Assessment Dues
	\$ 21,792	Measure I Mountain/Desert Administration Fund
	\$ 101,697	Measure I Valley Administration Fund
	<u>\$360,709</u>	<u>Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund</u>
	<u>\$514,109</u>	<u>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</u>
	\$ 0	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 6/30/07
	\$ 0	Unbudgeted Obligations for Contracts Prior to FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Jennifer Franco

**TASK NO. 10408000 Intergovernmental Relations**  
**MANAGER: Jennifer Franco**

**BUDGET COMPARISON**  
**2007/2008 Proposed Budget**

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Line Item</b>			
Salaries	80,826	109,475	114,731
Extra Help	140	0	0
Fringe Allocation	66,717	81,844	81,195
Indirect Allocation	173,851	179,189	175,883
Contributions/Other Agencies	0	3,000	3,000
Communications	0	200	300
Training/Member./Registration	31,937	30,000	40,000
Printing – Internal Only	0	0	500
Office Expense	146	500	500
Subscriptions	4,500	500	1,000
Postage	153	300	300
Meeting Expense	1537	1,500	2,500
Printing – Miscellaneous	49	100	100
Professional Services	5,601	70,000	70,000
CNG Van	0	0	100
Mileage Reimb/SANBAG Only	1,047	1,500	2,500
Mileage Reimb/Non-Employee	61	0	0
Travel – Other	5,190	3,000	7,500
Travel – Air	2,913	10,000	14,000
<b>Total New Budget</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$514,109</b>
<b>Total Actual/Planned Budget</b>	<b>\$374,668</b>	<b>\$491,108</b>	<b>\$514,109</b>



**TASK: 49008000 COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS NEW INITIATIVES**

**OBJECTIVE:** To serve as a depository for SANBAG membership dues which have been reserved for new initiatives related to Council of Governments activities.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** This task was created in 1999/2000 to develop a reserve of funds which could be allocated to new initiatives approved by the SANBAG Board of Directors. Since creation of this task, funds have been allocated for exploration of e-Government strategies and analysis of the impacts of electrical power industry on local governments.

**DESCRIPTION:** SANBAG has collected general membership dues since its inception. The dues are assessed according to a formula based 50% on population and 50% on assessed valuation of each member jurisdiction. The general membership assessment is collected to fund the activities of SANBAG when acting in its role as Council of Governments.

The 1999/2000 budget included an increase in the Council of Governments' membership dues from \$28,654 to \$37,252. This dues augmentation was part of a Board approved strategy to increase the SANBAG general assessment by 30% annually in 1999/2000, 2000/2001, and 2001/2002. In April 2002, the SANBAG Board approved an annual increase in the general dues assessment, calculated upon the countywide average percentage of the increase in population and the countywide increase in assessed valuation, utilizing prior year data.

Prior to 1999/2000, the SANBAG dues were \$28,654 and were unchanged since 1978. During that period the dues were actually \$9,546 less than the original dues assessment of \$38,200 established in 1976.

In FY 2007/2008, \$28,654 of the general assessment dues has been budgeted in Task No. 10408000, Intergovernmental Relations, as established by the Board of Directors. The remaining amount of dues collected is budgeted in this task. In FY 2006/2007 the Board of Directors appointed a committee to review SANBAG's activities as a Council of Governments. Funding in this task will be available to support new Council of Governments activities as approved by the Board.

**WORK ELEMENTS:**

1. Reserve local funds from general assessment dues until such time as expenditures of the funds are approved for activities related to SANBAG's Council of Governments role.

**FUNDING**

<b>SOURCES:</b>	\$279,843	Local Funds – Carry Over FY 2006/07
	\$ 65,083	Local Funds – FY 2006/07 Additional Increment of
		<u>General Membership Dues</u>
	<u>\$344,926</u>	<u>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</u>

\$	0	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07
\$	0	Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Deborah Robinson Barmack

TASK NO. 49008000 Council of Governments New Initiatives  
MANAGER: Deborah Robinson Barmack

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Contributions/Other Agencies	223,411	279,843	344,926
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$344,926
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$223,411	\$279,843	\$344,926

## TASK: 50308000 LEGISLATION

**OBJECTIVE:** Advocate the funding, legislative, and administrative processes which provide for local decision-making relative to transportation priorities and the ability to deliver transportation projects in an economical and timely manner through participation in state and federal legislative and regulatory processes.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) continues to successfully advocate for transportation issues in San Bernardino and the Inland Empire. In effort to reinforce the transportation-related needs in the Inland Empire, SANBAG renewed its contracts for state and federal legislative advocacy services in Sacramento and Washington, D.C. For advocacy services in Sacramento, SANBAG extended its contract with Smith, Watts & Co., which merged with California Strategies. The contract for advocacy services in Washington, D.C. was granted to Van Scoyoc Associates. Unlike past contracts for advocacy services, these contracts are held solely between SANBAG and the advocacy firm.

At the state level, a major accomplishment of the Legislative Program was the passage of Proposition 1B, a \$19.9 billion bond for transportation infrastructure projects throughout the state. While many most of the Proposition 1B monies provides funding for existing transportation accounts, two new accounts created the largest amount of discretionary funds for transportation in nearly a decade. These accounts include the \$4.5 billion Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) and the \$2 billion Trade Corridor Improvement Fund. SANBAG continues to work with the Legislature, the California Transportation Commission and Caltrans District 8 to acquire bond monies for qualifying projects.

SANBAG also sponsored Assembly Bill (AB) 2604 (Emmerson, 2005), which would authorize the use of a design-build procurement process for the I-10/Tippiecanoe Interchange project. The legislation was narrowly defeated in Assembly Transportation. This year, Emmerson has introduced AB 1373 to address the same issue, but altered the proposed legislation to include design-build authority for projects that might improve highway access to emergency medical services.

Lastly, SANBAG is sponsoring Senate Concurrent Resolution 16, to name the Route 30/Interstate 215 interchange in honor of Gary Moon, former SANBAG director of freeway construction.

In Washington, D.C., SANBAG worked hand-in-hand with Congressional representatives with the federal appropriations process. For FY2007, a year-long Continuing Resolution prevented earmarks, however, SANBAG is monitoring potential opportunities to acquire funding through the Federal Transportation Administration. For FY2008, extensive research was completed to assess the amount of available discretionary funds and reviewed guidelines released for federal earmark reforms. At this time, SANBAG has successfully submitted requests for 9 highway and transit projects equaling \$34.2 million.

In reviewing staffing needs, it is crucial to hire one additional staff person to monitor and analyze state and federal legislation and effectively produce briefing materials for board members. In terms of preparing for the upcoming federal transportation reauthorization bill, an additional staff person will provide additional support to successfully play a key role in this process.

**DESCRIPTION:** This program has three components; 1) monitoring state and federal legislation; 2) providing written information to the Board and recommending SANBAG positions on legislation to implement the Board's goals; and 3) creating legislative proposals, sponsoring and directly advocating legislation to carry out SANBAG legislative and administrative advocacy programs.

This budget contains staff expense costs for SANBAG's Executive Director, Director of Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs, and a staff analyst position and staff support position. The task budget contains funding for two professional services contractors, representing the agency in Sacramento and Washington, D.C. Additional provisions of this budget also entail the cost for a regularly schedule board advocacy trip to Washington, D.C. and an annual SANBAG-sponsored trip for D.C. staffers to visit SANBAG.

**WORK ELEMENTS:**

1. Manage contracts and coordinate activity of SANBAG Sacramento and Washington, D.C. legislative advocacy firms.
  - a. Up to eight annual trips to Washington, D.C. along with quarterly Federal presentations to the governing board. The number of trips also includes trips taken by the executive director for the purpose of advocating for legislation.
  - b. Two Sacramento trips monthly.
  - c. Coordinate three trips per year for advocates to attend SANBAG board meetings.
2. Convening periodic meetings with state, federal and local government legislative staff members, including hosting federal staff for an annual full day briefing and tour of projects.
  - a. Federal staff briefing, mid-January, second or third Friday.
  - b. Four state legislative staff (including one with Sacramento staff) issues briefings--lunch meetings.
  - c. SANBAG advocacy trip in D.C. for up to six board members
3. Coordinate legislative strategies and positions with member agencies of the Southern California Legislative Roundtable, League of Cities, the California Transit Association, California Council of Governments (CALCOG), and state and federal legislators.

4. Preparation of legislative matrixes of key bills, written attachments and oral presentations to the SANBAG and appropriate SANBAG Committees.
5. Direct contact with legislative offices providing information on adopted SANBAG legislative positions.
6. To serve as a resource to legislative offices to provide regional information concerning the impact of proposed legislative proposals and ballot initiatives on the region.
7. To advocate for transportation-related issues under consideration in the state Legislature and Congress. Advocacy efforts include regular trips to Sacramento and Washington, D.C.

PRODUCTS: Products of this work element include enhanced knowledge of state and federal transportation issues by the SANBAG Board, as well as fiscal and regulatory benefits accruing from the passage of SANBAG sponsored legislation. Through the new Fiscal Year 2007/08 SANBAG legislative program, actions will be taken to seek federal earmarks, improve funding at the state and federal level, and solicit legislator support.

#### FUNDING

SOURCES:	\$ 17,338	Measure I Valley Administration Fund
	\$351,067	Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund
	<u>\$ 43,585</u>	<u>Measure I Mountain/Desert Administration Fund</u>
	<u>\$411,490</u>	<u>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</u>
	\$ 0	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 6/30/07
	\$ 0	Unbudgeted Obligations for Contracts Prior to FY 2007-2008

MANAGER: Jennifer Franco

TASK NO. 50308000 Legislation  
MANAGER: Jennifer Franco

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Professional Services			
Line Item			
Salaries	41,954	57,077	69,982
Fringe Allocation	34,630	42,671	49,526
Indirect Allocation	90,239	93,424	107,282
Communications	20	1,000	1,000
Training/Membership/Registration	0	500	1,000
Printing – Internal Only			500
Office Expense	256	500	500
Subscriptions	3,231	5,000	5,000
Postage	59	500	500
Meeting Expense	4,478	2,850	3,500
Printing - Miscellaneous	0	200	200
Professional Services	252,541	0	154,500
Mileage Reimb/SANBAG Only	186	500	500
Travel - Other	3,391	5,000	6,000
Travel - Air	2,661	11,000	12,000
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$411,990
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$433,646	\$220,222	\$411,990

## TASK: 60508000 PUBLICATIONS AND PUBLIC OUTREACH

**OBJECTIVE:** To develop a comprehensive public communications program to inform member agencies, private partners, and the community at large regarding the broad range of SANBAG programs and methods by which they can provide input into those programs.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** SANBAG has an on-going program of outreach and communication with the news media and community organizations in San Bernardino County. Through this task, SANBAG has established a cooperative working relationship with key community organizations that provides for public input into SANBAG programs, as well as development of community support for projects at the State and Federal level.

**DESCRIPTION:** This task provides for SANBAG's active participation with the general public, as well as with public and private sector organizations concerned with improving transportation and economic development throughout San Bernardino County. The program includes periodic publication of SANBAG information notices, development of program brochures, hosting of community meetings for various subregional projects and management of a media information program relative to all SANBAG activities.

Funding for consulting and professional services to perform the Inland Empire Annual Survey, Quarterly Economic Report, and website maintenance is included in this task.

### WORK ELEMENTS:

1. Produce public information materials to educate SANBAG's various audiences on SANBAG programs. Materials include periodic SANBAG information bulletins, program related brochures, public broadcast materials and information packages.
2. Produce press releases and conduct on-going program of media relations to insure accurate and timely public information regarding SANBAG programs and projects.
3. Develop and implement special event activities relative to new and ongoing SANBAG programs and gain public input into SANBAG projects and programs.
4. Manage the SANBAG Internet Web Site.
5. Continue the Measure I Awareness Program to report on achievements made possible by the local transportation sales and use tax.
6. Sponsor the Inland Empire Survey.
7. Participate with various public and private organizations concerned with improving transportation and economic development within the County, including Inland Action, CLOUT, Morongo Basin Economic Development Consortium, Inland Empire Economic Partnership, Transportation California, the Inland Empire Transportation Coalition, and others.



8. Produce and distribute Quarterly Economic Reports to local government, private sector organizations, and the public.

PRODUCT: SANBAG information notices, press releases, specialized brochures, Inland Empire Annual Survey, Quarterly Economic Reports, and transportation related research, special events and media outreach efforts in support of overall SANBAG activities.

FUNDING

SOURCES:	\$404,687	Measure I Valley Administration Fund
	\$ 10,897	Measure I Mountain/Desert Administration Fund
	<u>\$ 60,470</u>	Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund
	<u>\$476,054</u>	<u>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</u>
	\$ 0	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07
	\$ 0	Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved Prior to FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Deborah Robinson Barmack

**TASK NO. 60508000 Publications and Public Outreach**  
**MANAGER: Deborah Robinson Barmack**

**BUDGET COMPARISON**  
**2007/2008 Proposed Budget**

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/08	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Salaries	99,633	113,937	110,795
Fringe Allocation	82,241	85,179	78,410
Indirect Allocation	214,303	186,492	169,849
CNG Van	0	500	500
Consulting Fees	9,936	37,500	37,500
Maintenance of Equipment	0	1,500	1,500
Meeting Expense	4,781	6,000	4,000
Mileage Reimb/SANBAG Only	675	1,000	1,000
Office Expense	1,585	1,000	500
Postage	2,823	2,000	3,000
Printing – Miscellaneous	4,045	3,000	3,000
Professional Services	38,503	25,000	30,000
Public Information Activities	134	10,500	10,500
Subscriptions	1,146	2,000	1,500
Training/Membership	12,990	24,000	24,000
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$476,054
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$487,673	\$499,608	\$476,054

## TASK: 50108000 FEDERAL TRANSIT ACT PROGRAMMING

**OBJECTIVE:** Ensure timely and effective use of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funding apportioned to San Bernardino County and seek additional funding from federal transit discretionary funds.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** Negotiated formulas for allocating FTA Section 5307 funds apportioned to the Los Angeles/Long Beach and San Bernardino/Riverside Urbanized Areas (UZA's) to counties. Evaluated, recommended and programmed projects for receipt of FTA Sections 5310 (Special Needs for Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities) and 5311(f) (Rural Intercity Bus) funding. Determined the distribution of FTA Section 5311 formula funds to eligible rural transit operators. Monitored Los Angeles/Long Beach, San Bernardino/Riverside and Victorville/Hesperia/Apple Valley UZA Section 5307 (Urban Bus Formula and Fixed Guideway), Los Angeles/Long Beach, San Bernardino/Riverside Section 5309 (Rail Modernization) and County Section 5311 (rural bus formula) apportionments, grant applications and balances. In mid-February President Bush signed the Revised Continuing Appropriations Resolution for the Federal Fiscal Year 2007 (P.L. 110-5). At the time of budget development, actual appropriation amounts are unknown. During Fiscal Year 2006/2007 over \$18 million in Section 5307 formula funds and more than \$3.3 million in Section 5309 rail modernization funds are expected to be apportioned to urbanized San Bernardino Valley portion of the County, \$2.4 million in Section 5307 formula funds are expected to be apportioned to the Victor Valley and \$917,800 of Section 5311 formula funds apportioned to rural operators in the County. SANBAG has also coordinated and supported requests for discretionary FTA funding from various transit operators.

During Fiscal Year 2002/2003 the Board adopted a policy that the determination of CMAQ awards for transit projects would be based upon the biennial multi-year plans (SRTP) prepared by the eligible operators. Transit CMAQ awards for Fiscal Year 2007/2008 will require the filing of grants to the FTA.

**DESCRIPTION:** This project includes determining the distribution of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Sections 5307, 5309, 5310, 5311 and 5311(f) funds as well as CMAQ funds committed to transit projects. In addition, SAFETEA-LU provides two additional formula-based revenue sources, Sections 5316 (Jobs Access and Reverse Commute) and 5317 (New Freedom Initiatives). Under Task 31908000 SANBAG will be completing the public transit/human services transportation coordination plan that will identify projects to be funded from these new revenues. It includes coordinating requests from the transit operators for additional discretionary funding. The task also includes contracting for Federal Single Audits of the small urban and rural operators.

### WORK ELEMENTS:

1. Prepare annual Section 5311 Program of Projects (POP).
2. Provide assistance in preparing Section 5311 grant applications.

3. Monitor Congressional appropriation of FTA funds and FTA regulation promulgation, including the new Sections 5316 and 5317 funds.
4. Provide assistance to Omnitrans in preparation of Section 5307 POP and grant application.
5. Provide assistance to Victor Valley Transit Service Authority in preparing Section 5307 POP and grant application.
6. Provide assistance to transit operators in preparing CMAQ grant applications.
7. Provide concurrence with the use of Section 5307 and/or 5309 apportioned to the San Bernardino Valley by the Southern California Regional Rail Authority.
8. Maintain Federal Sections 5307, 5309, 5311, 5316 and 5317 grant monitoring system to ensure timely commitment of funds.
9. Participate in review and prioritization of FTA Sections 5310 and 5311(f) applications and prepare recommendation for Board support.
10. Support operators' requests for discretionary capital funds.
11. Participate on the State 5311(f) program improvement committee.

PRODUCT: Programming of Federal Transit Act funding for eligible transit operator projects.

#### FUNDING

SOURCES: \$ 94.837      Local Transportation Fund - Planning

\$ 94.837      TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$      0      Total Anticipated Encumbrances as of 06/30/07

\$      0      Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved Prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Michael Bair

**TASK NO. 50108000 Federal Transit Act Programming**  
**MANAGER: Michael Bair**

**BUDGET COMPARISON**  
**2007/2008 Proposed Budget**

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Allocation of Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Salaries	6,925	17,418	21,202
Fringe Allocation	5,716	13,022	15,005
Indirect Allocation	14,895	28,510	32,503
Auditing	4,040	50,400	16,800
Meeting Expense	0	50	0
Mileage Reimb/SANBAG Only	192	150	75
Office Expense	64	75	0
Postage	8	25	25
Printing - Internal	0	0	50
Printing - Miscellaneous	0	100	0
Travel - Air	0	440	0
Travel - Other	40	150	150
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$85,810
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$31,880	\$110,340	\$85,810

## **TASK: 50208000 TDA ADMINISTRATION**

**OBJECTIVE:** To ensure timely and effective use of Local Transportation Funds (LTF) and State Transit Assistance Funds made available by the Transportation Development Act (TDA). Ensure all requirements are met by SANBAG and the recipients of TDA funds.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** In Fiscal Year 1993/94 all TDA administrative functions were transferred from Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) to SANBAG. Also during Fiscal Year 2002/2003, with the assistance of the Mountain/Desert transit operators and agencies representing the transit dependent, new definitions for "unmet transit needs" and "reasonable to meet" were developed and approved by the Board. The Board annually adopts the definitions when it sets the dates for conduction the unmet transit needs public hearings. During Fiscal Year 2003/2004, Caltrans Headquarters established an advisory committee to address issues and concerns relating to the TDA. SANBAG is one of the eighteen members of the advisory committee. During Fiscal Year 2005/2006 SANBAG issued the biennial call for projects for nearly \$4.1 million in LTF for bicycle/pedestrian and transit bus stop access improvement projects. During Fiscal Year 2006/2007 the Board approved a revised apportionment resulting in \$89.1 million (including \$4.8 million in prior year carryover) in Local Transportation Funds (LTF) being made available for transportation planning, fund administration, pedestrian and bicycle, transit and street improvements. More than \$7.4 million in State Transit Assistance Funds (STAF) was allocated to San Bernardino County for transit capital projects during Fiscal Year 2006/2007. The TDA required triennial performance audit of the six transit operators/claimants were completed Fiscal Year 2005/2006. The SANBAG triennial performance audit was completed in Fiscal Year 2006/2007. The next performance audits will be conducted during Fiscal Year 2008/2009. The Board has approved an LTF apportionment estimate of \$88.1 million for Fiscal Year 2007/2008. The State Controller's estimate of STAF allocated to San Bernardino County in Fiscal Year 2007/2008 is \$24 million and includes \$17.5 million from Proposition 1b.

**DESCRIPTION:** Administration of the funds made available by the Transportation Development Act - LTF and STAF, including contracting for fiscal and compliance audits of all claimants except Omnitrans. Conduct annual Unmet Transit Needs public hearings within the Mountain/Desert Region of the County and develop formal findings to testimony received. Continue participation on the State TDA Advisory Committee.

### **WORK ELEMENTS:**

1. For Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2007, prepare State Controller report of LTF and STAF, coordinate with SANBAG auditor for audit of LTF and STAF funds and monitor contract auditor work and final product for TDA claimants.
2. Provide assistance in preparation of TDA claims and claim amendments.
3. Obtain SANBAG Board approval of STAF Allocation Resolutions.

4. Maintain TDA monitoring systems which includes for 1) the LTF: a monthly tracking of Board of Equalization (BOE) receipts versus estimates; prepare allocation and disbursement instructions; monitor quarterly interest earnings and monthly cash balances, determine status of transit capital allocations, bicycle/pedestrian awards and curb cut and bus stop access set aside funds, unpaid allocations and unallocated apportionments and 2) the STAF: a quarterly tracking of receipts versus estimates, allocations and disbursements, interest earnings, cash balances, as well as status of operator and regional allocation amounts from the State.

5. Forward all approved allocations to Auditor/Controller with copy to appropriate claimant and issue disbursement instructions to the County Auditor/Controller.

6. Schedule, mail and publish notices for annual TDA unmet transit needs public hearings (a minimum of three hearings will be held in the Mountain/Desert Region). Obtain court recorder services for public hearings. Prepare summary of testimony received, recommended staff response and formal findings for review by Public and Specialized Transportation Advisory and Coordinating Council (PASTACC) and the hearing boards. Obtain Board adoption of formal findings.

7. Prepare a revised LTF estimate and apportionments for Fiscal Year 2007/2008 if needed and prepare the LTF estimate and apportionments for Fiscal Year 2008/2009 for Board approval.

8. Coordinate with contract auditor the conducting of fiscal and compliance audit of all claimants receiving TDA funds during Fiscal Year 2006/2007. Review all draft audits for accuracy.

9. Attend and participate in Statewide TDA Advisory Committee meetings.

PRODUCT: Fulfill statutory responsibility to allocate and disburse TDA funds.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$350,000      Local Transportation Fund - Administration

\$350,000      TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$      0      Total Anticipated Encumbrances as of 06/30/07

\$      0      Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved Prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Michael Bair

TASK NO. 50208000 TDA Administration  
MANAGER: Michael Bair

**BUDGET COMPARISON**  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Allocation of Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Consulting Fees			
Line Item			
Salaries	36,040	48,593	41,024
Fringe Allocation	29,749	36,328	29,033
Indirect Allocation	77,520	79,537	62,890
Auditing	58,710	106,605	205,070
Consulting Fees	76,906	38,750	0
Meeting Expense	1,918	4,000	1,500
Mileage Reimb/SANBAG Only	442	600	400
Office Expense	404	650	0
Postage	583	450	500
Printing - Internal	0	0	700
Printing - Miscellaneous	148	0	1,500
Professional Services	94	1,650	2,500
Travel - Air	217	1,800	3,280
Travel - Other	107	392	1,603
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$350,000
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$282,838.00	\$319,855	\$350,000



## TASK: 50608000 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

**OBJECTIVE:** To serve as the administrator of the San Bernardino County Local Transportation Fund (LTF) and provide for the distribution of funds to transit agencies and local jurisdictions.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** As the administrator of the Local Transportation Fund (LTF), SANBAG has annually distributed the funds based on the Board of Director's adopted apportionment and/or revised apportionment and in accordance with the Transportation Development Act (TDA) Statutes and the California Code of Regulations. SANBAG, as the County Transportation Commission, has been responsible for the administration of the fund since Fiscal Year 1993/1994, with the passage of AB 3799.

**DESCRIPTION:** The Transportation Development Act (TDA), also known as the Mills-Alquist Deddeh Act, authorized the creation of the LTF for transportation purposes. LTF revenues are derived from ¼ cent of the 7.75-cent retail sales tax collected within San Bernardino County. The State Board of Equalization returns the 1/4-cent to the County according to the amount of tax collected. LTF is allocated in a specific priority order, 1) Administration Allocations, sums as are necessary for SANBAG and the County Auditor/Controller to administer the Fund, 2) Planning and Programming Allocations, up to three percent (3%) of the annual revenues for SANBAG and a proportionate share of \$1.0 million to SCAG, 3) Pedestrian and Bicycle Allocations, two percent (2%) of the remaining annual revenues, 4) Rail Passenger Service up to area of apportionment, 5) Community Transit Service Allocation up to five percent (5%) of the annual revenue, 6) Public Transportation Allocations up to area of apportionment, 7) Miscellaneous Transportation Allocations, including contract transit service and street and road projects in the Mountain/Desert Region up to area of apportionment. Pursuant to California Code of Regulations Section 6644, prior to March 1<sup>st</sup> the Commission shall determine and advise all prospective claimants of the amounts of all area apportionments from the fund. In February 2007 the Board approved an apportionment of \$388.1 million for Fiscal Year 2007/2008.

### WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual pass-through funds and does not include any budget for SANBAG tasks funded by LTF Administration, Programming and Planning or Rail. This task is for accounting purposes only.

**PRODUCT:** Fiscal Accounting.

### FUNDING

**SOURCES:** \$81,558,311 Local Transportation Fund Pass-Through

\$81,558,311 TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$ Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$ 0 Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved Prior to

FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Michael Bair

**TASK NO. 50608000 LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND**  
**MANAGER: Michael Bair**

**BUDGET COMPARISON**  
**2007/2008 Proposed Budget**

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
<b>Anticipated Encumbrances</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contributions/Other Agencies			
<b>Line Item</b>			
Contributions/Other Agencies	0	82,674,532	81,558,311
<b>Total New Budget</b>	\$0	\$82,674,532	\$81,558,311
<b>Total Actual/Planned Budget</b>	\$0	\$82,674,532	\$81,558,311

**TASK: 50708000 STATE TRANSIT-ASSISTANCE FUND**

**OBJECTIVE:** To serve as the administrator of the San Bernardino County State Transit Assistance Fund (STAF) and provide for the distribution of funds to transit agencies and operators.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** As the administrator of the State Transit Assistance Fund (STAF), SANBAG has annually allocated funds to transit agencies and operators based on the Board approved Transit Operating and Capital Plans (TOCP) or Short Range Transit Plans (SRTP) and Budgets or amendments thereto from each operator in accordance with the Transportation Development Act (TDA) Statutes and the California Code of Regulations

**DESCRIPTION:** The State Transit Assistance Fund (STAF) was created under Chapter 161 of the Statutes of 1979 (SB 620) and provides a second source of TDA funding for transportation planning and mass transportation specified by the Legislature. Funds are derived from the statewide sales tax on gasoline and diesel fuel. The money is appropriated to the State Controller by the legislature. Pursuant to Public Utility Code (PUC) Section 99313 50% of the Statewide STAF is allocated to SANBAG based on the ratio of the population of the area under its jurisdiction to the total population of the state. Pursuant to PUC Section 99314 the other 50% is allocated to each of the eligible transit operators, and to SANBAG as a member agency to Southern California Regional Rail Authority (SCRRA) based on the ratio of total fare and local support revenue of all the operators during the prior fiscal year to the total fare and local support revenue of all the operators in the state. STAF funds must be disburse by a resolution adopted by the SANBAG Board and may not be allocated to fund administration or street and road projects. The Governor's proposed budget for Fiscal Year includes an additional \$600 million in the Statewide STAF due to revenues being made available from Proposition 1b.

**WORK ELEMENTS:**

1. This task contains the actual pass-through funds and does not include any budget for SANBAG tasks funded by STAF Rail. This task is for accounting purposes only.

**PRODUCT:** Fiscal Accounting.

**FUNDING**

**SOURCES:** \$ 10,500,000 State Transit Assistance Fund Pass-Through

\$ 10,500,000 TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07
\$	0 Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved Prior to FY 2007/2008

**MANAGER:** Michael Bair

TASK NO. 50708000 STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND  
MANAGER: Michael Bair

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances			
Contributions/Other Agencies			
Line Item			
Contributions/Other Agencies			10,500,000
Total New Budget			\$10,500,000
Total Actual/Planned Budget			

## TASK: 51308000 MEASURE I VALLEY ELDERLY & DISABLED

**OBJECTIVE:** To ensure the efficient and effective use of Valley Measure I Elderly & Disabled (E&D) funds.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** With the assistance of the transit operators and agencies representing seniors and persons with disabilities and the Public and Special Transportation Advisory and Coordinating Council (PASTACC), the policies for the use of Valley Measure I E&D funds was revised and approved by the SANBAG Board in Fiscal Year 2002/2003. In the past grants have been awarded to various entities to support marketing and education as well as capital assistance for transit services for the elderly and persons with disabilities. The majority of these funds are now disbursed to Omnitrans for the fare subsidy program for elderly individuals and individuals with disabilities and operating assistance for the Americans with Disabilities Act complementary paratransit. SANBAG has sponsored up to five scholarships to Valley public and social service transportation agencies to attend the Transit & Paratransit Management Certificate Program conducted by the University of the Pacific twice a year. SANBAG has also contracted with an entity to provide ADA passenger education and complaint mediation.

**DESCRIPTION:** This project involves the disbursement of Measure I - E&D funding within the Valley. Omnitrans includes this funding source for fare subsidies for seniors and persons with disabilities using transit service and for a direct service subsidy for the operation of the ADA complementary paratransit service. The task also includes payment through a contract for Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) passenger education and complaint mediation and the provision of up to five scholarships for the University of the Pacific Transit and Paratransit Management Certificate Program.

### WORK ELEMENTS:

1. Monitor performance of Valley Measure I - E&D funding contractor.
2. Process payment requests to Omnitrans and contractor.
3. Approve up to five scholarship applications for each University of the Pacific Transit and Paratransit Management Certificate Program session.

**PRODUCT:** Distribution of Valley Elderly & Disabled money. Quarterly reports of contractor activity.

### FUNDING

**SOURCES:** \$6,766,500 Measure I Valley Elderly & Disabled Fund

\$6,766,500 TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$2,200,400 Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$ 0 Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved Prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Michael Bair

TASK NO. 51308000 Measure I Valley Elderly & Disabled  
MANAGER: Michael Bair

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Allocation of Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$2,200,400
Contributions/Other Agencies			
Line Item			
Salaries	354	314	0
Fringe Allocation	292	235	0
Indirect Allocation	762	514	0
Contributions/Other Agencies	5,833,182	6,763,508	\$6,761,500
Office Expense	0	0	0
Professional Services	5,000	5,000	5,000
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$6,766,500
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$5,839,590	\$6,763,571	\$8,966,900



TASK: 50403000 MEASURE I ADMINISTRATION - VALLEY

OBJECTIVE: To administer the Valley Cities' Local Pass-Through Funds including: 1) the distribution of funds; 2) processing the checks; 3) updating population and tax collection information; and 4) annual performance audits.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully administered the Measure I Program since its inception in 1989.

DESCRIPTION: Conduct the administrative functions necessary to carry out the Valley Measure I Program as authorized by Public Utilities Code Section 180105 and Ordinance 89-01 of the San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, including distribution of Measure I revenue among the various program categories, audit of financial transactions, and technical assistance to local representatives in developing capital improvement programs. This task contains funding for independent auditors to audit recipients of Valley Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. Monthly, after receipt of check from Board of Equalization (BOE), run the Measure I distribution program.
2. Monthly, produce checks making necessary adjustments to distribution program amounts due to Debt Service funds held by The Bank of New York Trust Company and overpayments/underpayments due to population or sales tax collection updates.
3. Annually, make population estimate adjustments to the distribution programs based on information received from the Department of Finance.
4. Annually, contract with an audit firm to conduct financial and compliance audits of cities and the County.
5. Annually, review findings of audit firm prior to finalizing audit reports.
6. Annually, pay audit firm for services.
7. Prepare guidance on adoption of the Five Year Capital Improvement Programs and Twenty Year Transportation Plan, with financial forecasts.
8. Compile and maintain the Five Year Capital Improvement Programs and Twenty Year Transportation Plans for expenditure of Measure I funds.
9. Maintain contact and relationship with Board of Equalization to perform functions incident to the administration and operation of the tax collection program.
10. Develop and refine policies specific to Measure I Program.

PRODUCT: Monthly checks and audit reports.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$253,929      Measure I Valley Administration Fund

\$253,929      TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$      0      Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$      0      Unbudgeted Obligations in Approved Contracts FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 50408000 Measure I Administration - Valley  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Salaries	9,777	23,587	19,841
Fringe Allocation	8,070	17,634	14,041
Indirect Allocation	21,030	38,608	30,416
Auditing	21,980	51,685	60,000
Commissioners Fees	20,800	22,800	22,800
Meeting Expense	0	1,000	1,000
Mileage Reimb/SANBAG Only	0	100	150
Office Expense	10	100	150
Postage	62	100	150
Professional Services	22,815	100,000	60,000
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$208,748
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$104,544	\$255,614	\$208,748

TASK: 91800000 VALLEY MEASURE I LOCAL

OBJECTIVE: To serve as a depository for Measure I Local Pass-Through Funds prior to distribution to local jurisdictions.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: As administrators of all Measure I funds, SANBAG has annually distributed Measure I funds based on the formula specified in the Ordinance to fifteen (15) cities in the valley and the County of San Bernardino.

DESCRIPTION: Measure I provides that a portion of the proceeds from the half cent transactions and use tax collected in the Valley portion of San Bernardino County be distributed among the cities and the County based on the ratio of each jurisdiction's population to the total Valley population. SANBAG annually adjusts the allocation formula January 1 of each year to reflect population changes as prepared by State Department of Finance.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual pass-through funds and does not include any budget for administrative costs. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$24,242,088 Measure I Valley Local Pass-Through Fund

\$24,242,088 TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$ 0 Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$ 0 Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 91800000 Valley Measure I Local  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Distribution to Cities	23,087,703	22,577,225	\$24,242,088
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$24,242,088
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$23,087,703	\$22,577,225	\$24,242,088

**TASK: 80508000 BUILDING OPERATIONS**

**OBJECTIVE:** To provide the necessary administrative services to actively manage the operations of the historic Santa Fe Depot.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** Pursuant to the SANBAG Board of Directors action in May 2000, all staff are now consolidated into one building. Since relocating SANBAG's offices in 2004, SANBAG has engaged the services of a property manager to assist in managing and marketing the facility.

**DESCRIPTION:** SANBAG, as a tenant of the Depot and also as tenants in common with the City of San Bernardino, oversees the day to day operations of the Depot. This task does not incorporate capital improvements that are contained in a new Task 80608000 Building Improvements. This task funds SANBAG's portion of common area maintenance costs as an owner of the building. Other SANBAG tenant and common area maintenance costs are funded as Indirect costs. The fund that tracks this activity is a proprietary fund and is more commonly treated as an internal service fund.

**WORK ELEMENTS:**

1. Monthly review of property manager's reports and allocated costs to this task as appropriate.
2. Quarterly review of budgets and adjust as necessary.
3. Ongoing review of the property management account.

**PRODUCT:** Active management related to facility

<b>FUNDING</b>	<u><b>\$60,000</b></u>	<u><b>Rail Lease Assets</b></u>
	<u><b>\$60,000</b></u>	<u><b>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</b></u>
S	0	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07
S	0	Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved Prior to FY 2007/2008

**MANAGER:** Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 80508000 Building Operations  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Building Operating Expenses	222,316	406,000	60,000
Professional Services	156	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$60,000
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$222,472	\$406,000	\$60,000

**TASK: 80608000 BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS**

**OBJECTIVE:** To fund the capital costs and to provide the necessary administrative services to actively manage the building improvements to the historic Santa Fe Depot.

**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:** This is a new task that separates building improvements from building operations.

**DESCRIPTION:** SANBAG, as a tenant of the Depot and also as tenants in common with the City of San Bernardino, oversees the day to day operations of the Depot. This task incorporates capital issues and the fund that tracks this activity is a proprietary fund and is more commonly treated as an internal service fund. Capital improvements expected to be funded in the FY 2007/2008 budget include \$500,000 for Parking and Landscaping improvements. This is a new task that used to be combined with the Task 80508000 Building Operations.

**WORK ELEMENTS:**

1. Identify capital improvements for the Santa Fe Depot.
2. Solicit proposals/bids for capital improvements for the Santa Fe Depot.
3. Manage and monitor contractors and budget for capital improvements.

**PRODUCT:** Active management related to facility improvements.

**FUNDING**

<b>SOURCES:</b>	\$180,000	Federal Grant Funds
	<u>\$320,000</u>	<u>Rail Lease Assets</u>
	<u>\$500,000</u>	<u>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</u>
	\$200,000	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07
	\$ 0	Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to FY 2007/2008

**MANAGER:** Terrence McGuire



TASK NO. 80608000 Building Improvements  
 MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
 2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$200,000
Line Item			
Building Improvement	0	0	500,000
Expenses			
Professional Services	0	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$500,000
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$0	\$0	\$700,000

TASK: 94208000 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

OBJECTIVE: To provide the necessary administrative services to actively manage SANBAG's Financial Management Program.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully managed all activities related to bond issuance, debt service, investments and cash flow requirements. SANBAG has been conservative in managing both bond proceeds and operating reserves. This activity has been conducted since the inception of the Measure I Program.

DESCRIPTION: Conduct all administrative functions necessary to carry out the management of the Financial Management Program. Expenditures incurred for this function were originally charged against the issue to which it related. SANBAG has consolidated the fees to better manage all administrative expenditures related to this program. This task provides for two consulting services contracts to provide financial and investment advisory services related to revenue forecasting, cash management, investments, bond issuance, cash flow schedules and debt management.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. Financial advisory services will include continuing review of strategic plan and cash flows, taking into account.
  - a. The short and long-term needs of SANBAG.
  - b. Financing options and alternative debt structures.
  - c. Financing timetables.
  - d. Revenue forecasts.
2. Investment advisory services will include the following:
  - a. Advice on portfolio performance.
  - b. Advice on current investment strategies, cash management and cash flow projections.
  - c. Monthly preparation of investment report and review.
3. Utilize an independent consultant to perform an audit of all of SANBAG's investment policies, practices, procedures and portfolio status. Written observations and recommendations regarding the adequacy of investment controls will be presented to the Administrative Committee upon completion of the review.
4. As necessary, review financing timetables and structure new money bond issue including rating agency presentations and official statements.

PRODUCT: This activity pursues a conservative Debt Service Management strategy.

FUNDING

SOURCES:	\$127,980	Measure I Valley Administration Fund
	\$ 14,253	Measure I Mountain/Desert Administration Fund
	<u>\$191,970</u>	<u>Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund</u>
	<u>\$334,203</u>	<u>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</u>

\$	0	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07
\$	0	Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 94208000 Financial Management  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Salaries	5,262	28,286	25,273
Fringe Allocation	4,343	21,147	17,886
Indirect Allocation	11,318	46,299	38,744
Consulting Fees	5,575	40,000	180,000
Cost of Issuance - Bond	207,297	170,000	30,000
Meeting Expense	70	0	0
Mileage Reimb/SANBAG Only	22	0	0
Office Expense	1,779	2,000	2,000
Postage	292	300	300
Professional Services	136,122	175,000	180,000
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$372,080	\$483,032	\$334,203

TASK: 93108000 DEBT SERVICE - MAJOR/97 ISSUE

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the Major Projects' portion of the Debt Service on the 1997 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 1997 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the Major Projects' portion of the October 30, 1997, \$65,000,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 1997 Series A. The proceeds were used to fund capital projects as outlined in the strategic plan.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual collection of debt service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$10,529,169 Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund

\$10,529,169 TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$ 0 Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$ 0 Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 93108000 Debt Service – Major/97 Issue  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	10,521,981	10,529,169
Interest Payable – Bonds	2,268,681	0	0
Principal Payable – Bonds	8,260,000	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$10,529,169
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$10,528,681	\$10,521,981	\$10,529,169

TASK: 94408000 DEBT SERVICE - MAJOR/96 ISSUE

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the Major Projects' portion of the Debt Service on the 1996 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 1996 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the Major Projects' portion of the November 27, 1996, \$60,935,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series A.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual collection of debt service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$5,818,268      Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund

\$5,818,268      TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$      0      Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$      0      Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 94408000 Debt Service – Major/96 Issue  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	5,668,504	5,818,268
Interest Payable – Bonds	1,462,473	0	0
Principal Payable – Bonds	4,205,231	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	5,818,268
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$5,667,704	\$5,668,504	\$5,818,268



TASK: 94808000 DEBT SERVICE - MAJOR/01 ISSUE A

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the Major Projects' portion of the Debt Service on the 2001 Series A Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 2001 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the Major Projects' portion of the January 21, 2001 \$85,000,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 2001 Series A. The proceeds were used to fund capital projects as outlined in the strategic plan.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual collection of debt service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$11,659,063 Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund

\$11,659,063 TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$ 0 Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 6/30/07

\$ 0 Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 94808000 Debt Service – Major/01 Issue A  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	11,722,563	11,659,063
Interest Payable - Bonds	2,280,683	0	0
Principle Payable – Bonds	9,375,000	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$11,659,063
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$11,655,683	\$11,722,563	\$11,659,063

TASK: 94908000 DEBT SERVICE - MAJOR/01 ISSUE B

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the Major Projects' portion of the Debt Service on the 2001 Series B Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 2001 B Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the Major Projects' portion of the April 10, 2001 \$47,020,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 2001 Series B. The proceeds were used to fund a partial defeasance of the Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 1993 Series A.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual collection of debt service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$10,105,397 Measure I Valley Major Projects Fund

\$10,105,397 TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$ 0 Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$ 0 Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 94908000 Debt Service – Major/01 Issue B  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	10,104,905	10,105,397
Interest Payable – Bonds	1,844,743	0	0
Principal Payable – Bonds	8,259,001	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$10,105,397
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$10,103,749	\$10,104,905	\$10,105,397

TASK: 94608000 DEBT SERVICE - BARSTOW/96 ISSUE

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the City of Barstow's portion of the Debt Service on the 1996 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 1996 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the City of Barstow's portion of the November 27, 1996, \$60,035,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 1996 Series A. The proceeds were used for construction of the Lenwood Interchange.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual collection of Debt Service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$743,295      City of Barstow Measure I Arterial Fund

\$743,295      TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$      0      Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 6/30/07

\$      0      Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 94608000 Debt Service – Barstow/96 Issue  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	743,850	743,295
Interest Payable - Bonds	191,424	0	0
Principal Payable – Bonds	550,426	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$743,295
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$741,850	\$743,850	\$743,295

TASK: 95008000 DEBT SERVICE – YUCCA VALLEY/01 ISSUE B

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the Town of Yucca Valley's portion of the Debt Service on the 2001 Series B Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 2001 B Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the Town of Yucca Valley's portion of the April 10, 2001, \$47,020,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 2001 Series B. The proceeds were used to fund a partial defeasance of the Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 1993 Series A.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual collection of debt service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$166,876      Town of Yucca Valley Measure I Arterial Fund

\$166,876      TOTAL NEW BUDGET

\$      0      Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

\$      0      Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 95008000 Debt Service – Yucca Valley/01 Issue B  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	166,395	166,876
Interest Payable - Bonds	30,377	0	0
Principal Payable – Bonds	135,999	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$166,876
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$166,376	\$166,395	\$166,876



TASK: 90708000 DEBT SERVICE - BIG BEAR/92 ISSUE

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the City of Big Bear Lake's portion of the Debt Service on the 1992 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 1992 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the City of Big Bear Lake's portion of the \$110,000,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 1992 Series A. The 1992 bond proceeds were used for streetscape and under grounding on State Route 18.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. This task contains the actual collection of debt service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES: \$108,200      City of Big Bear Lake Measure I Arterial Funds

\$108,200      TOTAL NEW BUDGET

S      0      Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07

S      0      Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to  
FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 90708000 Debt Service - Big Bear/92 Issue  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

BUDGET COMPARISON  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	108,183	\$108,200
Interest Payable - Bonds	27,915	0	0
Principal Payable - Bond	80,267	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$108,200
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$108,182	\$108,183	\$108,200

TASK: 90808000 - DEBT SERVICE - MT./UNINCORPORATED/92 ISSUE

OBJECTIVE: To account for the Measure I Sales Tax funds withheld by the Bond Trustee, The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., for the County of San Bernardino's portion of the Debt Service on the 1992 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

ACCOMPLISHMENTS: SANBAG has successfully monitored the activities of the trustee who has been withholding funds for Debt Service and who has been investing funds on behalf of SANBAG. This activity relates specifically to the issuance of the 1992 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds.

DESCRIPTION: Debt Service for the County of San Bernardino's portion of the \$110,000,000 Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, 1992 Series A. The 1992 bond proceeds were used for streetscape and under grounding on State Route 18.

WORK ELEMENTS:

1. Monthly - This task contains the actual collection of debt service funds and does not include any budget for the cost of administration. This task is for accounting purposes only.

PRODUCT: Fiscal Accounting.

FUNDING

SOURCES:		County of San Bernardino Mountain Subarea
	<u>\$45,986</u>	<u>Measure I Arterial Fund</u>
	<u>\$45,986</u>	<u>TOTAL NEW BUDGET</u>
	\$ 0	Total Anticipated Encumbrances on 06/30/07
	\$ 0	Unbudgeted Obligations in Contracts Approved prior to FY 2007/2008

MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

TASK NO. 90808000 Debt Service – Mt./Unincorporated/92 Issue  
MANAGER: Terrence McGuire

**BUDGET COMPARISON**  
2007/2008 Proposed Budget

	2005/06 Actual	2006/07 Budget As of 02/07/07	2007/08 Proposed
Anticipated Encumbrances	\$0	\$0	\$0
Line Item			
Debt Service Expense	0	45,986	45,986
Interest Payable – Bonds	11,851	0	0
Principal Payable - Bonds	34,075	0	0
Total New Budget	\$0	\$0	\$45,986
Total Actual/Planned Budget	\$45,926	\$45,986	\$45,986

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

### *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 5

*Date:* March 14, 2007

*Subject:* Budget Amendment to Local Transportation Fund (LTF) Pass-Through Budget,  
Task Number 50607000

*Recommendation:*\* Approve an amendment to the LTF Pass-Through Budget, Task Number 50607000, increasing the budget authority by \$10,419,376 for a new total of \$86,057,525.

*Background:* The LTF Pass-Through was incorporated into SANBAG's Annual Budget for the first time in Fiscal Year 2006/2007. The LTF is allocated in a specific priority order, 1) Administration Allocation, sums as are necessary for SANBAG and the County Auditor/Controller to administer the Fund, 2) Planning and Programming Allocation, up to three percent (3%) of the annual revenues for SANBAG and a proportional share of \$1.0 million to SCAG, 3) Pedestrian and Bicycle Allocations, two percent (2%) of the remaining annual revenues, 4) Rail Passenger Service up to area of apportionment, 5) Community Transit Service Allocation up to five percent (5%) of the annual revenue, 6) Public Transportation Allocation up to area of apportionment, 7) Miscellaneous Transportation Allocations, including contract transit service and street and road projects in the Mountain/Desert Region up to area of apportionment. For the purposes of this item, priorities three (3) through seven (7) are considered available for pass-through funding.

\*

*Approved*  
*Administrative Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:*

*Second:*

*In Favor:*

*Opposed:*

*Abstained:*

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

The Commission approved an increase in the LTF revenue estimate for Fiscal Year 2006/2007 at their February 2007 meeting. The action being taken here allows for the allocation and disbursement of the increase to all prospective claimants.

*Financial Impact:* This amendment to the Local Transportation Fund Pass-Through Budget, Task Number 50607000, will increase the budget authority by \$10,419,376 for a new total of \$86,057,525, allowing SANBAG to make allocations and disbursements to all prospective claimants.

*Reviewed By:* This item will be reviewed by the Administrative Committee on March 14, 2007.

*Responsible Staff:* Victoria Baker, Senior Transit Analyst  
Michael Bair, Director of Transit and Rail Programs

- 
- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission   ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
  - San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency   ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
- 

***Minute Action***

AGENDA ITEM:   6  

*Date:* March 14, 2007

*Subject:* State and Federal Legislative Briefing

*Recommendation:\** Receive Report on State and Federal Legislative Issues.

*Background:* State Update

***California Transportation Commission (CTC)***

The talk of the town is the CTC staff work product, the proposed allocation list for CMIA project allocations, released first last week. The staff list was for a total of \$2.8 Billion, compared to available Proposition 1B funding of \$4.5 Billion. The development of the Governor asking for more complete allocations has opened the door to the final list going to the CTC next week for approval to include significantly more projects. Senator Dutton was instrumental in providing the Governor information about the I-215 widening project, which was included in the Governor's requests for additional projects to be funded through Proposition 1B funds.

As it stands today, the CTC staff is endeavoring to revise the recommendations and they are expected to complete their work by this afternoon. Commissioners will be expected to have an opportunity to review over the week-end and the staff's final list is expected to be publicly available.

---

*Approved*  
*Administrative Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:*                      *Second:*

*In Favor:*                  *Opposed:*                  *Abstained:*

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

The biggest hurdle for the CTC staff is confronting the 60/40 quotas for allocations by Southern and Northern regions. For example, to get more project funding for the Bay Area, within the north's 40% share, may require "shaving" amounts from the initial list of Bay Area projects AND reallocating funds from other Northern Counties on the initial list. The same approach is likely to apply to the Southern Counties as well.

### *Legislature*

There is a sense of disappointment by the Legislature in the approach taken by the CTC staff in developing the CMIA project nominations list. There may well be extended repercussions going forward regarding how the CTC may remain involved in allocating other Proposition 1B categories.

For example, Mark Watts, SANBAG's advocate in Sacramento believes that the \$2 Billion Trade Corridor category will likely become subject to more specific direction from the legislature, including possibly earmarks for specific projects. If this unfolds, SANBAG must be ready to move on local/regional priority projects. To date, three bills, SB 9 (Lowenthal), SB 262 (Runner), and SB 302 (Dutton) have been introduced on goods movement funding from Proposition 1B.

As for SANBAG sponsored legislation, SCR 16 (Negrete-McLeod) relative to memorializing Gary Moon, has been introduced. Additionally, Assembly Member Emmerson introduced AB 1373, a design-build bill related to local interchange improvements that benefit access to trauma care facilities.

### *Administration*

The Governor recently announced Dale Bonner as his selection to replace former BT&H Secretary McPeak. Bonner previously served as California Corporations commissioner under Governor Pete Wilson and brings solid government and private sector experience to his new position. He is expected to be a forceful advocate for the Governor's economic vision, including a reinvigorated infrastructure. Of special note, Secretary Bonner is a local product who proudly proclaims Victorville as his home town.

Also, the Governor made three key appointments to the California Transportation Commission, including California Alliance for Jobs Executive Director Jim Earp. The driving force behind Proposition 1B, Jim Earp chaired both the umbrella



infrastructure bond campaign and the transportation community's 1A/1B effort last fall. Carl Guardino, CEO of the Silicon Valley Leadership Group, was also appointed and John Chalker, a San Diego businessman and current CTC Commissioner, was reappointed. All three were appointed to four-year terms beginning March 1.

### *Upcoming State Senate Hearings*

March 14 – Budget Sub #4, Transportation and General Government; Select BT&H Agencies. 9:30 AM, Room 113.

Note: Will NOT cover transportation budget items, but will cover other BT&H Agencies.

March 28 – Rules committee; CTC Member Larry Zarian; 1:30, Room 113.

March 29 – Budget Sub #4; Topics include Caltrans, CTC, and State Transit Assistance (STA). Transportation and Housing Bonds are also covered. 10 AM, Room 112.

May 3, May 9, May 10 – Budget Sub #4; Open Items and Spring Finance Letters.

May 17, May 21, May 22, May 23 – Budget Sub #4. May Revision to Governor's Budget and Open Issues.

### **Federal Update**

#### *Federal Budget Update*

The federal FY 2008 Budget includes \$2.9 trillion in actual spending and \$66.9 billion in spending through the Department of Transportation. This includes the following:

1. Highways: The FY 2008 Budget includes \$39.6 billion for highways. This is consistent with the spending levels set under SAFETEA-LU. Upon completing a review of the proposed federal budget, Van Scoyoc Associates, SANBAG's advocates in Washington, D.C., indicated that SAFETEA-LU projects for FY 2008 are expedited to be funded through the proposed budget.

The question in the coming days will be what will be available in discretionary spending in addition to these previously authorized earmarks.

SAFETEA-LU also requires an increase in highway funding from the Revenue Aligned Budget Authority (RABA) account. The RABA calculation adjusts highways funding up or down based on gas tax receipts. This year RABA is a positive \$631 million. The Administration's budget does not account for this; which may bolster funding.

DOT funds its congestion mitigation program by transferring stale earmarks from a previous highway bill, ISTEA.

2. *Innovative Financing*: The budget also proposes \$93 million to help stimulate private capital investment in transportation infrastructure under the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA).

#### *Federal Appropriations Process*

Required funding request forms have been submitted to the California U.S. Senators and to Congressmen Dreier, Lewis, Baca and McKeon. Each office received a copy of SANBAG's funding request form and an informational fact sheet about each project in their respective districts.

#### *Earmark Reforms*

The House/Senate earmark reforms require that in seeking an earmark, a Senator/Member must provide a written statement to the chairman and ranking member of the committee of jurisdiction, including 1) the name of the Senator/Member; 2) the name and address of the intended recipient of the earmark, or if there is no specifically intended recipient, the intended location of the activity; 3) the purpose of the earmark; and 4) certification that the Senator/Member and spouse have no financial interest in the earmark.

No legislation (amendment, bill, or conference report) may be considered by the Senate or House of Representatives if it contains an earmark, unless those earmarks have been either printed in the accompanying report or in the Congress Record. The Senate-passed bill includes one change that was not in the House rules change: all earmarks must be in a "searchable format" for at least 48 hours (making it easier to find an earmark buried in a list of hundreds). Also, it would require a vote of 60 Senators to waive these requirements.

There has been much debate about “what is an earmark.” An earmark is defined in both House and Senate versions as a “Provision or report language included primarily at the request of a Member or Senator, authorizing or recommending a specific amount of discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority for a contract, loan, loan guarantee, grant loan authority, or other expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, locality, or Congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative formula-driven or competitive award process.”

***SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections Bill***

In 2005, Congress passed the transportation reauthorization bill, Safe, Accountable Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act – A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). On behalf of SANBAG, Congressman Baca submitted a request to amend SAFETEA-LU High Priority Project 2826, the State Street/Cajon Boulevard grade separation project, which has been incorporated into HR 1195 – the SAFETEA-LU Technical Corrections bill. The effect of this amendment would reallocate \$1.6 million from the State Street/Cajon Boulevard grade separation project, in San Bernardino, to the Palm Avenue grade separation, also in San Bernardino. The purpose for this reallocation is a result of the State Street/Cajon Boulevard grade separation receiving funds from the state’s Transportation Congestion Relief Program (TCRP). Should Congress adopt HR 1195, the State Street/Cajon Boulevard grade separation will not have to go through the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) process and our region will be able to construct an additional grade separation located at Palm Avenue.

- Financial Impact:*** No impact on SANBAG Budget; potential gains or losses may be experienced depending on outcome of legislative proposals.
- Reviewed By:*** This item is scheduled for review by the SANBAG Administrative Committee on March 14, 2007.
- Responsible Staff:*** Jennifer Franco, Director of Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs

- San Bernardino County Transportation Commission ■ San Bernardino County Transportation Authority  
■ San Bernardino County Congestion Management Agency ■ Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies

*Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 7

**Date:** March 14, 2007

**Subject:** SANBAG Advocacy Trip to Washington, D.C. - 2007

**Recommendation:**\* Discuss talking points and tentative plans for the SANBAG Advocacy Trip to Washington, D.C.

**Background:** In November 2006, board members voiced a desire for SANBAG staff to coordinate an advocacy trip for board members to advocate on behalf of SANBAG in Washington, D.C. The goal this outreach effort is to convey our need of federal funding for critical projects within San Bernardino County and other issues that will aid in bringing forth project completion.

Based on the schedule of other major conference in Washington, D.C., this trip has been scheduled for March 25 through March 28. At print time for this agenda item, the following board members have confirmed their participation for this trip: Supervisor Dennis Hansberger, Barstow Mayor Lawrence Dale, Redlands Mayor Pro Tem Pat Gilbreath (Chair of SANBAG's Commuter Rail Committee), and Apple Valley Mayor Rick Roelle (Chair of SANBAG's Mountain/Desert Committee).

Attached, please find potential talking points for the consideration and discussion. These talking points are provided as a means to help facilitate discussion on potential issues and are not in final form.

*Approved*  
*Administrative Committee*

*Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Moved:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Second:* \_\_\_\_\_

*In Favor:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Opposed:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Abstained:* \_\_\_\_\_

*Witnessed:* \_\_\_\_\_

ADM0703B-JF.doc  
Attachment:  
ADM0703B1-JF.doc  
50307000

Administrative Committee Agenda Item  
March 14, 2007  
Page 2

*Financial Impact:* The recommended action is consistent with the SANBAG 2006-2007 Fiscal Year Budget. Future year contract costs will be budgeted as necessary in future year budgets. TN50307000

*Reviewed By:* This item is scheduled for review by the Administrative Committee on March 14, 2006.

*Responsible Staff:* Jennifer Franco, Director of Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs

ADM0703B-JF.doc  
Attachment:  
ADM0703B1-JF.doc  
50307000

## Draft Talking Points for the SANBAG D.C. Advocacy Trip - 2007

The San Bernardino region is a fast-growing nexus for trade and commerce, attracting new business and residential opportunities. Based on annual estimates, the freight moved through the region is valued at \$200 billion, supporting 2 million jobs, and generating \$16.4 billion in state and local taxes.

Transportation is at the heart of economic growth and quality of life issues in the region. The regional freeway and railway systems within the region, already severely congested, are insufficient to meet today's needs without continued investment for transportation infrastructure. While we appreciate the recognition SAFETEA-LU provided to this region, we ask for continued support to expand our highways and railways.

Additionally, your leadership in four key areas can help us implement an efficient means to bring forth project delivery: goods movement, environmental quality, transportation finance and focus projects for FY2008.

### *Goods Movement*

The Ports of L.A. and Long Beach will be the nation's key western portal as freight traffic. *Together, they process 44% of all the imported goods entering the Nation, with only 30% of these goods being consumed within the region and 70% being distributed primarily by truck and rail nation-wide and to Europe.*

#### *Key Objectives for Goods Movement:*

1. Safeguard guarantees provided by SAFETEA-LU. Project Delivery requires a stable and reliable funding source.
2. Support the Southern California Goods Movement Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). This MOU establishes a process to foster increased cooperation – between federal, state and local entities and the business community – and collaboration for goods movement projects.

The purpose of the Southern California National Freight Gateway Strategy Framework Process, and the MOU providing for it, as initially proposed by the County Transportation Commissions is to facilitate and provide “shared leadership”, by the Principal Conveners, for the expeditious development and implementation of system-wide improvements and programs to accommodate future freight movement, including the mitigation of adverse community and environmental effects and impacts within the Southern California National Freight Gateway Area.

### *Environmental Quality*

San Bernardino County residents subsidize the nation's economy with their health, their time, and their quality of life. The Ports of L.A. and Long Beach are the nation's second busiest and bear the brunt of burgeoning globalization. San Bernardino County's highways serve as a major trade route (i.e. “throughput”) for freight destined for other parts of the country.

#### *Key Objectives for Environmental Quality:*

Continued efforts to streamline the environmental process, decrease the review process of the environmental documents and even promote exemptions to the National Environmental Protection Act, when reasonable will assist with project delivery.

### *Transportation Finance*

The private sector has a strong financial interest in the efficiency of our nation's transportation network. The movement of goods and people translates into economic vitality. The private sector can be a powerful partner with the public, working together to solve common challenges.

#### *Key Objective for Transportation Finance:*

1. Support efforts to provide incentives for public-private investments for transportation infrastructure. Private-public investment in infrastructure can provide a reliable funding source for major transportation infrastructure projects that with a public benefit. Incentives for such investments may include tax credits, private activity bonds and TIFIA loans for pre-construction.
2. Support innovative financing tools such as tax credits, private activity bonds, TIFIA loans, and financial other mechanisms. These financial mechanisms would be offered to private firms seeking to invest in public transportation projects.

*Tax Credits.* Tax Credit Equity financing allows for investors to contribute up-front capital to fund a portion of a project's cost and, in return, receive annual tax credits. The project sponsor would make matching contributions to fund the remaining costs. Tax credit equity financing could be structured similarly to the New Markets Tax Credit program (NMTC), but potentially at a longer and deeper level for goods movement projects—NMTC was established by the community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000 to stimulate equity investment in the economic development of low-income communities.

*Private Activity Bonds.* Tax-credit bonds provide borrowers/project sponsors a substantially deeper subsidy than even tax-exempt bonds. In this program, project sponsors would be responsible for only the principal portion of the debt (backed by project generated revenues). The U.S. Treasury would pay the “interest” portion of the debt through federal income tax credits.

*Expand the TIFIA Program.* Under SAFETEA-LU, eligibility provisions for the Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (TIFIA) were clarified and expanded to better facilitate public-private-partnerships efforts. Nevertheless, further assistance must be made available for early stage project development (e.g. potentially direct TIFIA loans to advance projects to at least 30% design completion). Federal assistance during the initial phases of project development can induce private sector co-investment and further bridge the gap toward accessing already existing innovative financing instruments.

*Other Mechanisms.* Container fees and U.S. Custom fees can create a revenue stream for projects specifically intended to facilitate and increase freight movement from the ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach through the Inland Empire. San Bernardino County is a major “throughput” for freight movement and transportation infrastructure is crucial to support the anticipated increases of containers moving through the region.

3. Protect current funding levels for the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ).

The Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) provides funding for projects and programs in air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas for ozone, carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter (PM-10, PM-2.5) which reduce transportation related emissions. Funds are apportioned according to a formula based on population and severity of pollution in ozone and carbon monoxide areas, similar to the formula under TEA-21, but weighting factors have been revised. In SAFETEA-LU, PM 2.5 was not added to the formula as one of the CMAQ weighing factors; however, EPA's revocation of the 1-hour ozone standard on June 15, 2005, may have an impact on this formula in the future. Additionally, a more concerted effort to utilize these funds for diesel retrofits is becoming a reality. While we applaud the efforts to retrofit engines, congestion mitigation must remain as a high priority.

#### *SANBAG's Focus Projects for FY2008*

Project delivery is dependent upon a reliable funding source. Projects listed below will provide funding for projects considered as part of an interlinking corridor for highway and mass transit commuters.

Congressional District	Project Name	Amount Requested
Baca	I-10 Corridor: Cherry Avenue/I-10 Freeway Interchange	\$3 million
Baca	San Bernardino Rapid Bus Project (sbX)	\$4 million
Baca	Rialto Metrolink Parking Lot Expansion	\$700,000
Dreier	I-15 Corridor: Base Line/I-15 Interchange	\$1.5 million
Lewis	Needles Highway	\$10 million
Lewis/McKeon	I-15 Corridor: La Mesa Nisqualli/I-15 Interchange	\$5 million
Lewis/McKeon	I-15 Corridor: Eucalyptus/I-15 Interchange	\$2 million
McKeon	HDC: Development and Interchange, Phase I	\$5 million
McKeon	Victor Valley Transit Facility	\$3 million

### Public Lands Highway Discretionary Program

#### *Needles Highway*

**\$10 million – (Lewis)**

Needles Highway is primarily a two-lane rural highway that runs north and south between the City of Needles and Laughlin, Nevada. Improvements to the highway are necessary for improved motorist safety, to reduce road flooding and wash-outs. Previously the State of Nevada had allocated \$14 million to the project, \$7 million of which is to be spent on the California segments. Because of increased project costs, NDOT rescinded the \$7 million that was programmed for the California side to fund construction on the Nevada side of the highway. Discussions with Nevada are taking place to reprogram the Nevada contributions to the project. SANBAG has allocated \$2,478,840 of Surface Transportation Program formula funds to the project, and the project has received \$5,834,701 in allocation of Public Lands and Highways funds. The project is included in the SANBAG Comprehensive Transportation Plan. The project cost is estimated at \$60 million.

Est. Total Project Cost: \$60 million

Federal Funding:

Surface Transportation Program \$2,478,840

Public Lands \$5,834,701

### Interstate Maintenance Program

#### *I-10 Corridor: Cherry Avenue/Interstate 10 Freeway Interchange*

**\$3 million (Baca)**

This request is for federal funds in the amount of \$3 million to partially fund construction costs to replace the Cherry Avenue/Interstate 10 interchange. This interchange currently has the single greatest amount of vehicular delay of any interchange within the 43rd Congressional District and is the primary interchange from the I-10 serving heavy industrial areas of Fontana, Ontario, San Bernardino County, several high volume truck stops and the California Speedway. SANBAG's "Nexus Study," the fair share contributions from new development has identified \$12,353,000 in development mitigation funds for this project.



Est. Total Project Cost: \$59,462,000

***I-15 Corridor: Base Line Road/Interstate 15 Interchange***

**\$1.5 million (Dreier)**

The Base Line Road /I-15 interchange is located just North of I-15/Foothill Blvd. interchange – the most congested segment of I-15 between I-10 and Las Vegas. Current planned improvements include two new bridge structures for the southbound on/off ramps and constructing a loop ramp for westbound Base Line Road to southbound I-15. The project includes the replacement of the existing East Avenue overhead structure located north of the interchange, widening Base Line Road to provide two left turn lanes for eastbound Base Line to the northbound I-15. The total estimated project cost is \$25.9 million, which includes \$18.9 million in project costs without right-of-way acquisition.

Est. Total Project Cost: \$25.9 million

Federal Funds:

2006 Federal Appropriations \$500,000

***I-15 Corridor: La Mesa/Nisqualli/Interstate 15 Interchange***

**\$5 million (Lewis/McKeon)**

This project connects La Mesa Road and Nisqualli Road by constructing an over-crossing and interchange connection to Interstate 15 at what has become the urban/commercial core of the Victor Valley and provide an improved east-west corridor from the Town of Apple Valley. The interchange will serve as a conduit across the freeway and help disperse traffic from existing interchanges at Bear Valley Road and Palmdale Road that were not constructed to accommodate the massive population growth and commercial development that has occurred in the Victor Valley in past decade. SANBAG's "Nexus Study," a study to determine the fair share contributions from new development, identified \$25 million in development mitigation funds for the project.

Est. Project Total: \$72 million

Federal Funding:

Demo \$1,200,000

RSTP \$3,800,000

Section 115 \$ 250,000

***I-15 Corridor: Eucalyptus Road/Interstate 15 Interchange***

**\$2 Million (Lewis/McKeon)**

This project provides an important new interchange with Interstate 15. Currently, the interchanges at Main Street and Bear Valley Road, separated by four miles, are the only interchanges that serve the City of Hesperia, which also support travel into the City of Victorville. The lack of access for this rapidly growing area causes massive congestion not only on Main Street and Bear Valley Road, but traffic also backs up on Interstate 15 while trying to exit the freeway, creating unsafe driving conditions on the freeway. A Project Study Report (PSR) was completed in 2004. No project development activities have occurred beyond the PSR, due to lack of funding. Project cost is currently estimated to be \$40 million. SANBAG's "Nexus Study," the fair share contributions from new development, identified \$22,960,000 in development mitigation funds for the project.

Est. Total Project Cost: \$40 million

***High Desert Corridor (HDC): Development and Interchange Improvements (Phase I)***

**\$5 million (Lewis/McKeon)**

The Antelope and Victor Valleys continue to experience explosive population growth, deficient highway infrastructure, and impacts from truck related goods movement that bypass the Los Angeles area's more congested freeways. The HDC first received funding in TEA21 for the section between U.S. 395 in Adelanto and State Route (SR) 18 in Apple Valley.

SAFETEA-LU designated a portion of HDC as E-220; however no funding accompanied the designation. Most of the route identified as E-220 falls outside of HDC, Phase I. It is important to distinguish between the phases when considering funding for the project.

HDC, Phase I, is the first segment of a new highway linking the Victor Valley in San Bernardino County with the Antelope Valley in Los Angeles County. This project will provide new freeway access from the I-15 freeway to U.S. 395 and will provide new highway access to Southern California Logistics Airport (formerly George Air Force Base).

This project will enhance plans to expand the multi-modal capability for goods movement, with the added benefit of ultimately creating 10,000 jobs. The project is currently estimated to cost \$900 million to construct from US-395 in Adelanto to SR-18 in Apple Valley. The project is currently in the Project Approval & Environmental Document phase. It is anticipated that PA& ED will be complete in late 2008.

SANBAG requests \$5 million for costs associated with planning and design implementation for Phase I. Local match from Apple Valley/Victorville for Federal Funds have been received in the amount of \$2,460,000. SANBAG's "Nexus Study," a study to determine the fair share contributions from new development, identified \$38,220,000 in development mitigation funds for this project.

Est. Total Project Cost:	\$900 million
Federal Funding:	
TEA-21 (Lewis)	\$7,500,000 – Phase I
SAFETEA-LU (Lewis)	\$4,000,000 – Phase I
SAFETEA-LU (McKeon)	\$800,000 – Phase II
2005 Federal Appropriations (Lewis)	\$3,000,000 – Phase I
2006 Public Lands (FHWA)	\$2,000,000 – Phase I

## **Transit Program**

### ***Victor Valley Transit Facility***

#### **\$3 million (McKeon)**

The Victor Valley Transit Authority completed a Facility Master Plan in October 2004. As a result of that study the Authority has purchased a 15 acre site within the City of Victorville for the construction of a new facility to house administration, maintenance and operations functions. This new facility will replace the existing administration, maintenance and operations facility provided through a lease by the contract operator. The total amount being sought is \$30 million over multiple years, \$5 million of which is requested for FY2007.

The new facility will be designed to accommodate an anticipated fleet of 145 vehicles in 2020. The Authority will be seeking a LEED (Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design) rating of Silver for the new facility design. Requested appropriation amount of \$30 million from FTA 5309 Bus/Bus Facilities.

### ***San Bernardino Rapid Bus Project (sbX)***

#### **\$4 million (Baca)**

This new high-tech, user-friendly system, known as sbX, will offer more frequent service, fewer stops, and higher average speeds than traditional bus service. It uses advanced vehicles that can run on existing roadways in mixed traffic or on exclusive transit lanes.

The E Street Corridor, in the City of San Bernardino, has been selected by Omnitrans as the first corridor in the San Bernardino Valley to receive sbX service. The corridor extends from Loma Linda on the south, through downtown San Bernardino, to California State University San Bernardino on the north. The adopted alignment is 16 miles long and the daily ridership is estimated at 14,000, compared to fewer than 4,000 daily passenger boardings today. The end-to-end travel time for the sbX service is estimated at 40.2 minutes, versus 69 minutes for regular bus service today. The Corridor supports about 121,000 people and more than 71,000 jobs.

***Rialto Metrolink Parking Lot***  
***\$700,000 (Baca)***

The existing multi-modal facility, located on Palm Ave., provides bike racks, bike lockers, a bus stop and parking lot to serve the 170 foot long platform. Parking is currently utilized at 110% of capacity, resulting in potential rider frustration and limiting ridership increases. The project is a multi-phase project that would eventually expand the parking lot from 222 parking spaces (214 regular and 8 handicapped) to 900 spaces to accommodate projected ridership through 2030.

The City of Rialto has begun planning for Phase II of the project which is expected to create approximately 500 of the 900 total spaces at the transit facility. Phase II is anticipated to cost approximately \$15 million from project commencement to construction. For this phase, the City of Rialto has \$4 million committed and is requesting \$700,000 to be used for PS&E. Previous federal funding that has been received on this project is as follows:

FY2006 FTA 5307	\$2,400,000
FY2007 FTA 5307	\$ 800,000
FY2006 LTF	\$ 600,000
FY2007 LTF	\$ 200,000

## *Minute Action*

AGENDA ITEM: 8

**Date:** March 14, 2007

**Subject:** Environmental Streamlining – Potential Legislative Matters Concerning the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA).

**Recommendation:\*** Review report and support legislative efforts to provide for environmental streamlining for NEPA.

**Background:** At the SANBAG board meeting in February 2007, board directed SANBAG staff to review the NEPA process and suggest a course of action to exempt new highway lanes and interchanges on existing right-of-way (ROW) from the NEPA process, a federal environmental review process for infrastructure projects.

One possible course of action is to seek amendments to NEPA categorical exclusions (CE). Unlike the California Environmental Quality Act, NEPA does not have projects that are "statutory exemptions". Within CEQA primarily within Public Resources Code section 21080 (b) are a series of projects that are exempt from CEQA; a similar provision is not afforded within NEPA. Congress through special legislation will exempt certain projects from NEPA, such as the Alaska Pipeline, but nothing that is administrative pertaining to transportation.

**Financial Impact:** No impact on SANBAG Budget; potential gains or losses may be experienced depending on outcome of legislative proposals.

**Reviewed By:** This item is scheduled for review by the Administrative Committee on March 14, 2007.

**Responsible Staff:** Jennifer Franco, Director of Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs

	<i>Approved</i>		
	<i>Administrative Committee</i>		
	<i>Date:</i> _____		
	<i>Moved:</i>	<i>Second:</i>	
	<i>In Favor:</i>	<i>Opposed:</i>	<i>Abstained:</i>
<i>Witnessed:</i> _____			

## SANBAG Acronym List

1 of 2

AB	Assembly Bill
ACE	Alameda Corridor East
ACT	Association for Commuter Transportation
ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act
APTA	American Public Transportation Association ...
AQMP	Air Quality Management Plan
ATMIS	Advanced Transportation Management Information Systems
BAT	Barstow Area Transit
CAC	Call Answering Center
CALACT	California Association for Coordination Transportation
CALCOG	California Association of Councils of Governments
CALSAFE	California Committee for Service Authorities for Freeway Emergencies
CALTRANS	California Department of Transportation
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CHP	California Highway Patrol
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality
CMP	Congestion Management Program
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
COG	Council of Governments
CSAC	California State Association of Counties
CTA	California Transit Association
CTAA	Community Transportation Association of America
CTC	California Transportation Commission
CTC	County Transportation Commission
CTP	Comprehensive Transportation Plan
DMO	Data Management Office
DOT	Department of Transportation
E&H	Elderly and Handicapped
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ETC	Employee Transportation Coordinator
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FSP	Freeway Service Patrol
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FTIP	Federal Transportation Improvement Program
GFOA	Government Finance Officers Association
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
HOV	High-Occupancy Vehicle
ICMA	International City/County Management Association
ICTC	Interstate Clean Transportation Corridor
IEEP	Inland Empire Economic Partnership
ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991
IIP/ITIP	Interregional Transportation Improvement Program
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems
IVDA	Inland Valley Development Agency
JARC	Job Access Reverse Commute
LACMTA	Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
LTF	Local Transportation Funds
MAGLEV	Magnetic Levitation
MARTA	Mountain Area Regional Transportation Authority
MBTA	Morongo Basin Transit Authority
MDAB	Mojave Desert Air Basin
MDAQMD	Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District
MIS	Major Investment Study
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding

## SANBAG Acronym List

2 of 2

MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
MSRC	Mobile Source Air Pollution Reduction Review Committee
MTP	Metropolitan Transportation Plan
NAT	Needles Area Transit
OA	Obligation Authority
OCTA	Orange County Transportation Authority
OWP	Overall Work Program
PA&ED	Project Approval and Environmental Document
PASTACC	Public and Specialized Transportation Advisory and Coordinating Council
PDT	Project Development Team
PPM	Planning, Programming and Monitoring Funds
PSR	Project Study Report
PTA	Public Transportation Account
PVEA	Petroleum Violation Escrow Account
RCTC	Riverside County Transportation Commission
RDA	Redevelopment Agency
RFP	Request for Proposal
RIP	Regional Improvement Program
ROD	Record of Decision
RTAC	Regional Transportation Agencies' Coalition
RTIP	Regional Transportation Improvement Program
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
RTPA	Regional Transportation Planning Agencies
SB	Senate Bill
SAFE	Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies
SANBAG	San Bernardino Associated Governments
SCAB	South Coast Air Basin
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCORRA	Southern California Regional Rail Authority
SED	Socioeconomic Data
SHA	State Highway Account
SHOPP	State Highway Operations and Protection Program
SOV	Single-Occupant Vehicle
SRTP	Short Range Transit Plan
STAF	State Transit Assistance Funds
STIP	State Transportation Improvement Program
STP	Surface Transportation Program
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TCM	Transportation Control Measure
TCRP	Traffic Congestion Relief Program
TDA	Transportation Development Act
TEA	Transportation Enhancement Activities
TEA-21	Transportation Equity Act for the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century
TIA	Traffic Impact Analysis
TMC	Transportation Management Center
TMEE	Traffic Management and Environmental Enhancement
TOC	Traffic Operations Center
TOPRS	Transit Operator Performance Reporting System
TSM	Transportation Systems Management
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
UZAs	Urbanized Areas
VCTC	Ventura County Transportation Commission
VVTA	Victor Valley Transit Authority
WRCOG	Western Riverside Council of Governments

# ***San Bernardino Associated Governments***



## **MISSION STATEMENT**

To enhance the quality of life for all residents, San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) will:

- Improve cooperative regional planning
- Develop an accessible, efficient, multi-modal transportation system
- Strengthen economic development efforts
- Exert leadership in creative problem solving

To successfully accomplish this mission, SANBAG will foster enhanced relationships among all of its stakeholders while adding to the value of local governments.

Approved June 2, 1993  
Reaffirmed March 6, 1996